



UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/106,784
 06/29/98
 PEDNAULT
 E
 Y0998-256

 LMC1/0814
 EXAMINER
 EXAMINER

FRED GIBB McGINN & GIBB, PC 1701 CLARENDON BOULEVARD SUITE 100 ARLINGTON VA 22209 BRODA, S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2763

DATE MAILED: 80/14/88

08/14/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. **09/106,784**

Applican(s)

Pednault

Examiner

Samuel Br da

Group Art Unit 2763

THE	PER	NOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗍	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) X	is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
(date on determi calculat	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appel	lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or within any I for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Δηι	olican	It's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>2 Aug 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, DT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
		roposed amendment(s):
	-	ill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	□ w	ill not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NC	DTE:
	□ A	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	- New	
□ ※	New sepa	applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): If proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a large, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because: claimed method steps recite an abstract mathematical algorithm, and do not include limitations to a practical
_	New separation of the separati	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Ity proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a strate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
X	New separation of the separati	applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): It is proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because: claimed method steps recite an abstract mathematical algorithm, and do not include limitations to a practical lication having a useful, concrete and tangible result. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the miner in the final rejection.
_	New sepa The for a The appl The Exar	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): If proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a strate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because: claimed method steps recite an abstract mathematical algorithm, and do not include limitations to a practical ication having a useful, concrete and tangible result. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the miner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
X	New separation and the apple The Example Claim	applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): It is proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because: claimed method steps recite an abstract mathematical algorithm, and do not include limitations to a practical lication having a useful, concrete and tangible result. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the miner in the final rejection.
X	New sepa The for a The appl The Exar For I Clair Clair	applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Ity proposed or amended claims
X	New separation of the separati	Ity proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a strate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: claimed method steps recite an abstract mathematical algorithm, and do not include limitations to a practical ication having a useful, concrete and tangible result. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the miner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed:
X	New separation of the separati	applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Ity proposed or amended claims